

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/743,443 | 12/19/2003 | Jose Luis Moctezuma Barrera | 29997/065 | 1735 |
| 29471 7590 07/20/2009 MCCRACKEN & FRANK LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| 311 S. WACKER DRIVE | | | RAJ, RAJIV J | |
| SUITE 2500 CHICAGO, II | .60606 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3686 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/20/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/743,443
 BARRERA, JOSE LUIS MOCTEZUMA

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 RAJIV J. RAJ
 3686

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RAJIV J. RAJ. (3) Thomas Riley.

(2) <u>Cato Yang</u>. (4) <u>Neal Sereboff</u>.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,16 and 35.

Identification of prior art discussed: Van Der Brug, Malackowski.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the merits of applicant's proposed amendments</u>.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS THE TROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jerry O'Connor/ SPE, GAU 3686